iber caregivers including what tooks and resources will be utilized to assess risks and needs while identifying and providing needed supports and services.

Submission Evaluation Considerations:
- Innovative
- Implementable
- Addresses Person-Centered Service Planning
- Improves Outcomes (Quality/Member)
- Other Notable Considerations

ARIZONA PHYSICIANS IPA, INC.	BANNER-UNIVERSITY CARE ADVANTAGE	BCBSAZ HEALTH CHOICE	HEALTH NET ACCESS	MERCY CARE
		RATIONALE AND MAJOR OBSERVATIONS		
Offeror described its approach to supporting family caregivers, although it distinct distinguish clearly between paid and unpaid caregiver support types. Offeror described its Carefroth caregiver support program. Offeror discussed member support tools (e.g., Carebridge		detail the potential types of support family member caregivers may require, including respite, companion care to medical visits, peer support and HRSN.	types of support members/family member caregivers may require, including food, housing and home modifications.	Offeror described its approach to supporting paid and unpaid family caregivers. Offeror listed the types of demands placed on caregivers. Offeror identified technology-oriented solutions for supporting caregivers. Offeror described its Televeda digital
tables; that can offer indirect assistance to caregivers by reducing stress. Offeror also mentioned a SNF-at-home plot program in Pima County.	 Offeror mentioned its CareBridge app for clinical assistance lincluding urgent care) and Rovicare digital care management platform. Offeror also mentioned a peer-to-peer matching program for caregiver respite "awapping". 	Offeror proposed innovative supports, including no-cost health insurance for caregivers at risk of losing coverage due to higher income and BlueCare Cafe 24-hour peer support.	Offeror mentioned its three-year Caregiver Technical Assistance Support Center Grant, family lisison position (AHCCCS requirement) and "Caregiver Stressbuster Program" but did not describe clearly the specifics of these	education and coaching application and Trusita caregiver platform. Offeror proposed to offer a \$200 monthly allowance for transportation to non-AMCCCS services.
Offeror mentioned its D-SNP plan available to qualifying members, which offers member/caregiver supports, but did not describe clearly the importance of different family scenarios in assessing caregiver needs.	Offeror discussed the importance of different family scenarios on serving members in the least restrictive setting, including parent caregivers of children.	Offeror discussed the importance of understanding cultural differences, including LGBTO-related, on care needs, but did not describe clearly the importance of different family scenarios (e.g., parent caregivers of children versus adult child caregiver of aged parent) in assessing caregiver needs.	Offeror discussed the importance of different family scenarios on serving members in the least restrictive setting.	
Offeror described risks to family caregiver well-being (e.g., burnout) and the potential for "compassion fatigue". Offeror discussed the role of the family caregiver but did not describe clearly how it assesses what is in the best	Offeror discussed risks to family caregiver well-being (e.g., burnout) but did not describe clearly how it would monitor for these risks and apply identified resources to address.	Offeror did not describe clearly risks to family caregiver well-being (e.g., burnout), although its innovative solutions included peer supports relevant to this concern.	including adults serving as caregivers of aged parents while also caring for their own children. Offeror noted that family size can affect member placement options.	including parent caregivers of children, adult child caregivers of aged parents and spouse caregivers.
interest of the member with respect to use of family caregivers. Offeror described its use of community reinvestment dollars for attendant care workforce development. Offeror	Offeror did not describe clearly how it assesses for what is in the best interest of the member, including the factors to be considered with respect to serving the member in the least restrictive setting.	Offeror described how it assesses for what is in the best interest of the member, including the factors to be considered with respect to serving the member in the least restrictive setting.	Offeror described risks to family caregiver well-being (e.g., burnout) and the importance of education, including with respect to a member's disease progression. Offeror described how it assesses through the PCSP for what is in the best interest of the member with respect to use of family caregivers, and emphasized the central role of the	
discussed the importance of diversity in worldorce development, including with respect to tribal members. Offeror described its Careforth caregiver training and education program to assess for and address possible family	Offeror discussed workforce development needs and use of Rovicare in evaluating but did not describe clearly how it will address expansion of the workforce.	Offeror described its analysis of network development needs and potential opportunities for expanding the worldorce.	member in decision making. Offeror described proposed initiatives for workforce development, including two centers-of-excellence for family	Offeror described how it assesses for what is in the best interest of the member, including evaluating the willingness of family members to serve as caregivers.
member caregiver burnout.	Offeror described multiple tools to support family caregivers (directly or indirectly), including Innovaccer social vulnerability index, CareBridge and Rovicare.	Offeror described multiple tools to support family caregivers (directly or indirectly), including Pyx social isolation tool, for use both by members and caregivers, Blue Connection (food/nutrition assistance), Blue Anywhere	support and Spectrum Training Academy for CHWs. Offeror described multiple tools to support family caregivers (directly or indirectly), including Pyx social isolation	Offeror discussed ensuring timely access to services, including acute physical health care, but did not describe clearly its understanding of, or strategy for addressing, workforce shortages/development needs.
		(remote care) and Blue-at-Home (in-home care).	tool, Mober Welth Italett appl, MEST (risk prediction), TrueCare (caregiver platform), WellFrame (sigital health)) and Tellsdoc (tellwinedicine).	Offeror described multiple tools to support family caregivers (directly or indirectly), including Psy social lookston inco. (Dispath: Care (who themse monitoring during telemedicine appointments), Social Scape (needs assessment), Tolevoda and Trualita platforms.
Offeror discussed using CES data to identify potential underutilization of services but did not otherwise demonstrate clearly how its strategy for supporting family caregivers and workforce development is informed by data.		Offeror's presentation addressed program implementation and offeror demonstrated how its strategy for supporting family caregivers and workforce development is informed by data (e.g., urban/rural and health equity	Offeror discussed use of data (e.g., 2-codes) within digital platforms (e.g., NEST) but did not otherwise demonstrate clearly how its strategy for supporting family caregivers and worldorce development is informed by	Offeror discussed use of digital platforms but did not demonstrate clearly how its strategy for supporting family caregivers and worldorce development is informed by data.
Offeror did not describe clearly its strategy for implementing new initiatives.	Offeror mentioned strategic approaches but did not describe clearly its strategy for implementing new initiatives.	considerations). Offeror's discussed using its D-SNP as a foundation for various ALTCS initiatives and identified some dates related to future workforce needs.	Offeror did not describe clearly its strategy for implementing new initiatives.	Offeror did not describe clearly its strategy for implementing new initiatives.
Officer described its approach as one of providing easy-to-access/insignts services and supports, both to the member and consignents.	Officer of socued methods for facilisting care in the least restrictive setting (e.g., home modifications) and noted that it historically low case manager turnover rate has facilitated continuity of care.	Offeror described its approach as proactive, in terms of identifying needs, and individualized based on family needs and capacity.	offeror described its approach to designed to reduce consigner harden, including through to explains on in home services and a care model hallored to the needs of the includual members and huf-ther caregiver(s).	Offeror described its approach as individualized based on femily needs and capacity.
Offeror described its PCSP as encompassing both the member and caregiver (family or otherwise, as desired by the member). Offeror did not discuss clearly the importance of individualized goals.	Offeror described is PCSP as holistic and mentioned the irrportance of engaging with both the member and family. Offeror stated that family members are part of the IDT. Offeror mentioned the importance of establishing individualized goals and checking-in frequently with both the member and caregiver.		Offeror described a whole person care approach to PCSP that factors in caregiver abilities and needs and seeks to honor a member's preferences in the context of what the caregiver is capable of doing. Offeror stated that caregivers are part of the IDT. Offeror addressed the importance of both short- and long-term individualized	are part of the IDT. Offeror did not address clearly the importance of individualized goals.
Offeror identified potential risks/barriers to a family canegiver's wellbeing (e.g., burnout/stress). Offeror did not address clearly the challenge posed by the aging of the canegiver workforce.	Offeror identified potential risks/barriers to a family caregiver's wellbeing (e.g., burnout and isolation). Offeror dic not address clearly the challenge posed by the aging of the caregiver workforce.	discussed the need for caregivers to be satisfied and motivated. Offeror did not address clearly the challenge	Offeror identified potential risks/barriers to a family caregiver's wellbeing (e.g., burnout). Offeror did not address	Offeror identified potential risks/barriers to a family caregiver's wellbeing (e.g., work/life balance, and guilt/resentment, among others). Offeror did not address clearly the challenge posed by the aging of the caregiver workforce.
Offeron's approach took into consideration health equity, including with respect to tribal diffilation, ethnicity and GGRTU status.	Offerer's approach took into consideration health equity at a system level, in terms of plac infrastructure.	LGBTQ status.	clearly the challenge pood by the aging of the caregiver workforce. Offeror's approach took into consideration health equity, including with respect to language preference.	Offeror's approach took into consideration health equity, including with respect to language preference and religious briefly.
Officer defines health outcomes for family caregions, including through previous of IrDE in c. surm late. Officer discussed member social sociation but did not describe Cheely any steps to address caregiver relation.	Office or fiftment the importance of health outcomer for family anagem; but did not address clearly it approach to be improving outcome. See that has middled not address. Officer discussed carries are similar and in address of a seal carries are similar and in address in a seal carries carried from members) interested in volunteering it the community.	to caregivers in risk of losing coverage due to higher income. Offeror mentioned availability of behavioral health papers, at hough it don't describe the services in detail. Offeror stated it will make the Pyn app availabilit to caregiven to eddress social lodation.	apps, as well as its "Stress Busters" program, although it did not describe how this program would work. Offeror	Offeror affirmed the importance of a hash outcome for family canguers but did not address clearly in approx to importing outcome and the property of the property of the property of the property outcomes. We also shall not state clearly whether it will be offered but to members and conguers, or only members.
		RANKING		
2	4	1	3	5
				1
EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Danielle Athlock ALLIA S Project Manager			

EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Danielle Adhlock	
EVALUTOR TITLE:	ALTUS Project Manager	
	Nov 15, 2023	
DATE:		
	(O2_	
	SanHills Adhles: Nove 15, 2023 09/21 MST)	
SIGNATURE:	SMITTER PRINCE DISCOUNTED AND ADDRESS OF THE PRINCE OF THE	
EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Daria Johnson	
	Program Development Officer - DHCS	
EVALUTOR TITLE:		
DATE:	Nov 15, 2023	
OATE:		
SIGNATURE:		
Signature:		
EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Jakenna Lebiock	
	Assistant Director - DHCS	
EVALUTOR TITLE:		
DATE:	Nov 15, 2023	
DAIL.		
	Qakenna L. Leberck	
	Jacona L. Libroce	
SIGNATURE:	^v	
EVALUATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Melosa Azzabal	
·	ALTCS Case Management Program Manager	
EVALUTOR TITLE:		
DATE:	Nov 15, 2023	
DATE:		
	70.4.14	
	magnet.	
SIGNATURE:		
FACILITATOR FULL NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	Andrew Cohen	
	Nov 15, 2023	
DATE:	···	
1	Andrew Cohen	
SIGNATURE:		
	·	